## Structural composition and diversity of tree species in two village jungles of Mymensingh

## M.S. Haque; M.M. Rahman and A.K.M.A. Prodhan

Department of Crop Botany, Bangladesh Agricultural University Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

**Abstract:** The study describes the community structure and diversity of plant species in two village jungles of Mymensingh based on a random stratified quadrat sampling method. *Aphanamixis polystachya* showed the highest density (288 trees/ha), Importance Value Index (67.38) and Species Diversity (0.358) in Jungle-1 (Laxmipur jungle); while the maximum Total Basal Cover (296.5 m<sup>2</sup>/ha) was found in *Artocarpus heterophyllus*. In the Jungle-2 (Dublachara jungle), highest density (521 tress/ha), Importance Value Index (82.2), Total Basal Cover (275.67 m<sup>2</sup>/ha) and Species Diversity (0.36) were observed in *Caryota urens*. Considering sapling stage, *Aphanamixis polystachya* ranked first in terms of density (833 and 1302 tress/ha), Importance Value Index (96.22 and 125.83), Total Basal Cover (9.07 and 11.92 m<sup>2</sup>/ha) and Species Diversity (0.139 and 0.223) in both the jungles.

Keywords: community structure, Diversity, Importance Value Index, Total Basal Cover.

### Introduction

Forests are the most biodiverse terrestrial ecosystems. Village forests hold the vast majority of the world's terrestrial species. Village forests of Bangladesh cover an area of 0.27 million hectare while state forests cover 2.25 million hectares. Village forests, mostly privately owned are more productive than the government forest and supply about 85% of timber requirements of the country. Both indigenous and exotic trees are the major components of the village forests in Bangladesh (Alam, et. al. 1996). Forest biodiversity provides a wide array of goods and services which includes timber, pulpwood, firewood, fodder, fruits, fuel, vegetables and medicinal plants. Forests provide ecological services that are extremely important to the environment. Forest biodiversity also has important economic, social and cultural roles in the lives of many indigenous and local communities. Forest biodiversity especially village jungle diversity is being lost because of rapid human population growth, fragmentation and degradation of all forest types. Destruction or conversion of habitat is the most significant cause of biodiversity loss. The main causes are conversion of forest to agricultural land, overgrazing, shifting cultivation and infrastructure development (roads, buildings, housing etc.). Biological diversity in forests depends on their composition and vertical structure (Puumalainen, 2001) and efforts have been made all over the world to include them in the criteria and indicators of forest biodiversity (Stork, et. al. 1997). A considerable work on community structure of forests has been conducted in many countries throughout the world but a very few research has been undertaken in Bangladesh especially on village forests structure. Correct inventory of plant species and assessment of village forest biodiversity in different habitats is necessary for framing a long term strategy for rehabilitation of endangered species. The present research work has been undertaken to describe the structure, composition and distribution of plant species in two selected jungles in Mymensingh district.

#### Materials and methods

The selected study site, Mymensingh district is situated between  $24^{\circ}15'$  and  $25^{\circ}12'$  north latitudes and  $90^{\circ}13'$  and  $90^{\circ}49'$  east longitudes. The study was carried out in two village jungles of Bhangnamari union of Gouripur Upazila under Mymensingh district from July, 2005 to September, 2005. Both the jungles are situated on the bank of Old Brahmaputra river. The area of the Jungle-1 (Laxmipur jungle) was  $11250 \text{ m}^2$  and that of the Jungle-2 (Dublachara jungle) was 13500  $m^2$  The phytosociological analysis of the vegetation was done on each jungle by using 6 randomly placed quadrats. The size (8m X 8m) and number of quadrats were determined by the species area curve (Misra, 1968). The vegetation was quantitatively analyzed for frequency, density and abundance following Curtis and McIntosh (1950). The relative values of frequency, density and dominance were determined as per Phillips (1959). These values were summed to represent IVI (Importance Value Index) of individual species (Curtis, 1959). The ratio of abundance to frequency (A/F) for different species was determined for eliciting the distribution patterns. The trees more than 31.5 cm cbh (circumference at breast height i. e. 1.37 m above the ground) were individually measured for cbh. Individuals between 10.5 to 31.5 cm cbh were recorded either as saplings or shrubs and the individuals less than 10.5 cm cbh were considered as seedlings (Knight, 1963). For Total Basal Cover (TBC), the cbh of all individuals of all the species was measured and then the basal area (BA) of each species was calculated by using the formula,  $\pi$  (cbh/2)<sup>2</sup>. The species diversity (H) was determined by using Shannon-Wiener (1963) information index as H= -  $\sum$  (Ni/N) log n (Ni/N), where Ni is the total density value for species i and N is the total density value of all the species in a stand. The concentration of dominance (Cd) was determined by Simpson's (1949) index, as  $Cd = \sum (Ni/N)^2$ , where Ni and N are same as for Shannon-Wiener information index.

#### **Results and discussion**

A total of 13 tree species under 10 genera and 9 families were recorded in Jungel-1. In the Jungle-2, a total of 20 tree species under 19 genera and 16 families have been recorded. Two species in the Jungle-1 and one species in the Jungle-2 were unidentified. Moraceae was the largest family in both the jungles followed by Meliaceae (Table 1). The highest density belongs to the species Aphanamixis polystachya (288 tress/ha) followed by Artocarpus heterophyllus (130 trees/ha) in the Jungle-1 (Table 2). In the Jungle-2, the density range of the tree species was observed from 25 trees/ha to 521 trees/ha. Caryota urens was the dominant tree species (521 trees/ha) followed by Artocarpus heterophyllus (338 trees/ha) (Table 3). Akhter et al. (1997) found Magnifera indica as

highest in number in the sampled villages of Chittagong, Bangladesh. The tree frequency ranged from 16 to 83% in Jungle-1 and 16 to 100% in the Jungle-2. The highest frequency was observed in Aphanamixis polystachya in both the jungles followed by Caryota urens (50% in Jungle-1 and 66% in Jungle-2) (Table 2, 3). In the Jungle-1, the TBC ranged from  $3.62 \text{ m}^2/\text{ha}$  to 296.5  $m^2$ /ha and in Jungle-2 it varied from 2.0  $m^{2}$ /ha to 275.67  $m^{2}$ /ha (Table 2, 3). Table 2 and 3 showed that the maximum TBC value belonged to the species Artocarpus heterophyllus (296.5  $m^{2}/ha$ ) in Jungle-1 while the species Caryota urens (275.67 m<sup>2</sup>/ha) represented maximum occurrence in terms of TBC value in Jungle-2. Al-Amin et al. (2005) found the highest TBC in Tectona grandis in degraded forest of Chittagong, Bangladesh. The species caryota urens, Artocarpus heterophyllus, Annona reticulata, Lagerstroemia speciosa (0.062) represented the highest A/F ratio values in Jungle-1 and the greater A/F ratio was found in Magnolia pumila (0.125) in Jungle-2 (Table 2, 3). The IVI value varied between 6.35 and 67.38 in Jungle-1 and between 5.12 and 82.2 in Jungle-2 (Table 2, 3). The species Aphanamixis polystachya (67.438) in Jungle-1 and the species Caryota urens (82.2) in Jungle-2 had a high IVI score due to the many species present in the jungles (Table 2, 3). Hossain *et al.* (1997) observed the highest IVI in *Bursera serata* in Ramu Reserved Forest of Cox'sBazar, Bangladesh.

The tree species diversity has been observed from 0.092 to 0.358 in Jungle-1 and in Jungle-2 it varied from 0.064 to 0.367 (Table 2, 3). *Aphanamixis polystachya* (0.358) ranked first with respect to species diversity in Jungle-1 and *Caryota urens* (0.367) showed the greater diversity values in Jungle-2. The highest Cd was found in *Aphanamixis polystachya* and *Caryota urens* in the Jungle-1 and Jungle-2, respectively (Table 2, 3).

A total of 12 tree sapling species under 11 genera and 9 families were recorded in Jungle-1. In Jungle-2 a total of 9 tree sapling species under 8 genera and 7 families have been recorded. One species in both the jungles was unidentified. Moraceae was the largest family in both the jungles (Table-1). The sapling of *Aphanamixis polystachya* species was the largest species in the both jungles (833 trees/ha in Jungle-1 and 1301 trees/ha in Jungle-2) (Table 4 & 5)

Table 1: Total number of tree and	tree sapling species,	genera and families	as recorded in
both the jungles			

		Tree	Tree sapling
	Species	13	12
Jungle-1	Genera	10	11
	Family	09	09
	Species	20	09
Jungle-2	Genera	19	08
-	Family	16	07

Sl	Botanical	Density	Freq	TBC	A/F	13/1	TT	Cl
no	name	(Trees/ha)	(%)	$(m^2/ha)$	ratio	IVI	Н	Cd
1	Aphanamixis	288	83	69.96	0.026	67.38	0.358	0.08179
	polystachya	200	05	07.70	0.020	07.50	0.550	0.00177
2	Artocarpus	103	33	296.5	0.062	43.47	0.23321	0.01046
	heterophyllus	105	55	270.5	0.002	13.17	0.23521	0.01010
3	Annona reticulata	25	16	3.62	0.062	6.35	0.09175	0.00061
4	Zanthooxylum rhetsa	25	33	12.56	0.030	12.34	0.09175	0.00061
5	Alstonia scholaris	103	50	67.94	0.026	31.11	0.23321	0.01046
6	Oroxylum indicum	78	50	16.34	0.026	23.86	0.19814	0.0061
7	Caryota urens	103	50	27.19	0.026	28.96	0.23321	0.01046
8	Ficus racemosa	103	33	27.90	0.062	25.38	0.23321	0.01046
9	Lagerstroemia speciosa	25	16	5.30	0.062	6.66	0.09175	0.00061
10	Michelia champaca	25	16	19.62	0.062	8.29	0.09175	0.00061
11	Ficus hispida	25	16	16.25	0.062	7.99	0.09175	0.00061
12	Unidentified 1	52	33	30.19	0.030	18.12	0.15303	0.00266
13	Unidentified 2	52	33	10.61	0.030	15.73	0.15303	0.00266

 Table 2: Phytosociological attributes with Species diversity (H) and Concentration of dominance (Cd) of tree species in Jungle-1

Table 3: Phytosociological attributes with Species diversity (H) and Concentration of<br/>dominance (Cd) of tree species in Jungle-2

Sl	Botanical	Density	Freq	TBC	A/F	IVI	Н	Cd
no	name	(Trees/ha)	(%)	$(m^2/ha)$	ratio	1 V 1	11	Cu
1	Aphanamixis polystachya	338	100	55.75	0.021	52.08	0.32446	0.0417
2	Artocarpus heterophyllus	130	33	46.35	0.05	21.65	0.19976	0.0061
3	Bombax ceiba	52	33	9.01	0.03	11.13	0.10821	0.0009
4	Toona ciliata	52	33	14.45	0.03	11.72	0.10821	0.0009
5	Cassia fistula	25	16	6.83	0.063	5.77	0.06342	0.0002
6	Annona reticulata	52	16	6.05	0.125	7.76	0.10821	0.0009
7	Aegle mermelos	52	33	8.26	0.03	11.50	0.10821	0.0009
8	Caryota urens	521	66	275.67	0.075	82.2	0.36388	0.0991
9	Samanea saman	79	50	37.08	0.02	18.94	0.14431	0.0022
10	Unidentified 2	78	33	41.19	0.045	14.35	0.14431	0.0022
11	Moringa oleifera	25	16	16.97	0.0625	6.59	0.06342	0.0002
12	Tamarindus indica	25	16	2.00	0.0625	5.12	0.06342	0.0002
13	Ficus racemosa	25	16	8.29	0.0625	5.91	0.06342	0.0002
14	Lagerstromia speciosa	25	16	6.60	0.0625	5.74	0.06342	0.0002
15	Hynenodietyon excelsum	25	16	14.51	0.0625	6.41	0.06342	0.0002
16	Oroxylum indicum	25	16	6.37	0.0625	5.72	0.06342	0.0002
17	Elaeocarpus floribundus	25	16	11.33	0.0625	5.72	0.06342	0.0002
18	Magnolia pumia	52	16	5.29	0.125	7.64	0.10821	0.0009
19	Swietinia mahogani	25	16	2.40	0.0625	5.19	0.06342	0.0002
20	Trema orientalis	25	16	78.5	0.0625	9.15	0.06342	0.0002

Sl	Botanical	Density	Freq	TBC	A/F	IVI	Н	Cd
no	name	(Trees/ha)	(%)	(m²/ha)	ratio	1 / 1	11	Cu
1	Aphanamixis polystachya	833	100	9.07	0.05	96.22	0.36784	0.1391
2	Annona reticulata	78	33	3.14	0.045	17.32	0.11717	0.0012
3	Zanthooxylum rhetsa	52	16	0.76	0.062	9.81	0.08756	0.0005
4	Alstonia scholaris	25	16	0.785	0.062	6.52	0.05029	0.0001
5	Oroxylum indicum	52	16	1.98	0.125	10.11	0.08756	0.0005
6	Caryota urens	156	33	0.44	0.09	18.91	0.18592	0.0048
7	Sterblus asper	521	83	2.45	0.048	56.53	0.33956	0.0544
8	Toona ciliata	156	50	3.47	0.04	27.74	0.18592	0.0048
9	Cassia fistula	103	50	0.129	0.026	16.87	0.1419	0.0021
10	Ficus hispida	52	33	1.12	0.03	12.43	0.08756	0.0005
11	Unidentified 2	153	33	0.123	0.09	19.71	0.18367	0.0046
12	Gmelina arborea	52	16	1.80	0.125	9.81	0.08756	0.0005

Table 4: Phytosociological attributes with Species diversity (H) and Concentration of dominance (Cd) of tree sapling species in Jungle-1

Table 5: Phytosociological attributes with Species diversity (H) and Concentration of dominance (Cd) of tree sapling species in Jungle-2

Sl	Botanical	Density	Freq	TBC	A/F	IVI	Н	C4
no	name	(Trees/ha)	(%)	(m²/ha)	ratio	1 V I	П	Cd
1	Aphanamixis polystachya	1302	100	11.92	0.083	125.83	0.3542	0.2231
2	Annona reticulata	25	16	0.95	0.062	7.58	0.0426	$8 \times 10^{-05}$
3	Caryota urens	104	33	6.86	0.062	18.66	0.1229	0.0014
4	Cassia fistula	286	50	3.39	0.073	36.16	0.2351	0.0107
5	Unknwn 2	781	66	2.43	0.11	64.79	0.3573	0.0804
6	Oroxylum indicum	52	16	1.48	0.125	10	0.0744	0.0003
7	Artocarpus lakoocha	52	16	0.64	0.125	8.8	0.0744	0.0003
8	Toona ciliata	130	33	2.62	0.075	21.63	0.1438	0.0022
9	Baccaurea ramiflora	25	16	0.20	0.062	6.49	0.0426	$8 \times 10^{-05}$
	Gmelina arborea and Oroxylum indicum i						<i>cum</i> in	

*Aphanamixis polystachya* (100%) represented the highest frequency rate in both the jungles.

The lowest and highest TBC values of the tree sapling species have been recorded as  $0.12 \text{ m}^2$ /ha and  $9.07 \text{ m}^2$ /ha in Jungle-1, respectively (Table 4). *Dipterocarpus turbinatus* showed the maximum TBC in 318.09 ha forest reserves in Bangladesh observed by Nath *et al.* (1998). The maximum A/F ratio (0.125) was observed in the species Jungle-1 and the species *Oroxylum indicum* and *Artocarpus heterophyllus* in the Jungle-2, respectively (Table 4 & 5). The species *Aphanamixis polystachya* (96.22 in Jungle-1 and 125.82 in Jungle-2) had the greater IVI value in both the jungles. The species diversity ranged from 0.088 to 0.368 in Jungle-1 and from 0.043 to 0.355 in the Jungle-2. The highest diversity was observed in *Aphanamixis polystachya* in both the jungles (0.368 in Jungle-1 and 0.355 in Jungle-2).

The Cd was recorded from 0.0001 to 0.1391 in the Jungle-1 and in the Jungle-2 it varied from  $8 \times 10^{-5}$  to 0.2231 (Table 4 & 5). The highest Cd was found in Aphanamixis polystachya in both the jungles.

# References

- Akhter, S., Nath, T.K. and Mohiuddin, M. 1997.Village homegardens in Chittagong: Socioeconomic aspects and tree species composition. Chittagong Univ. Studies, Part II: Sci. 21(1) 63-72.
- Alam, M.K., Modiuddin, M. and Basak, S.R.
  1996. Village trees of Bangladesh : Diversity and Economic Aspects. Bangladesh J. For.
  Sci. 25(1 & 2): 21-36.
- Al-Amin, M., Alamgir, M. and Bhuiyan, M.A.R.
  2005. Structural composition based on diameter and height class distribution of a deforested area of Chittagong, Bangladesh. J. Appl. Sci. 5 (2): 227-231.
- Curtis, J.T. and McIntosh, R.P. 1950. The interrelation of certain analytic and synthetic characters. Ecol. 31: 434-455.
- Curtis, J.T. 1959. The Vegetation of Wisconsin: An Ordination of Plant communities. Univ. Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin. 657 pp.
- Hossain, M.K., Hossain, M. and Alam, M.K. 1997.
  Diversity and structural composition of trees in Ramu Reserved Forest of Cox's Bazar Forest Division, Bangladesh. Bangladesh J. For. Sci. 26(1): 31-42.

- Knight, D.H. 1963. A distance method for constructing forest profile diagrams and obtaining structural data. Trop. Ecol. 4 : 89-94.
- Misra, R. 1968. Ecology Work Book. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. 244 p.
- Nath, T.K., Hossain, M.K. and Alam, M.K. 1998. Diversity and composition of trees in Sitapahar Forest Reserve of Chittagong Hill Tracts (South) Forest Division, Bangladesh. Ann. Forest. 6(1): 1-9.
- Phillips, E.A. 1959. Methods of Vegetation Study. Henry holt and Co. Inc. 107 p.
- Puumalainen, J. 2001. Structural, compositional and functional aspects of forest biodiversity in Europe. Geneva Timber and Forest Discussion Papers. ECE/TIM/DP/22. United Nations. New York and Geneva.
- Shannon, C.E. and Wiener, W. 1963. The Mathematical Theory of Communication. Urbana Univ. Illinois Press 117 p.
- Simpson, E. H. 1949. Measurement of diversity. Nature 163: 688.
- Stork, N.E., Boyle, T.J.B., Dale, V., Eeley, H., Finegan, B., Lawes, M., Manokaran, N., Prabhu, R., Soberon, J. 1997. Criteria and indicators for assessing the sustainability of forest management: conservation of biodiversity. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia. 29 p.